Peer Review Checklist – Phase I

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Website URL: [www.saenzpardo.com](http://www.saenzpardo.com) | Website Developer: J. Adam Saenzpardo |
| Evaluator: J. Adam Saenzpardo | Final Score: 90/100 |

For each item, you will score it based on the following 4-point scale.

**4** – **Advanced** – Indicates an advanced level of achievement.

**3** – **Proficient** – Indicates a strong level of achievement with very small errors and/or mostly, but not fully developed.

**2** – **Progressing** – Indicates a moderate level of achievement with few errors and/or site is developed but could benefit from more work.

**1** – **Beginning** – Indicates an initial level of achievement with many errors and/or site is underdeveloped.

**0** – **No Evidence** – Indicates that there was no evidence that it was completed.

**Constructive Feedback** – When assessing a website and its effectiveness, you should use your discretion and subjectivity. Please be constructive when providing feedback. The goal is for everyone to learn from the feedback and ultimately create a better website.

# Branding

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Score | Branding Comments |
| Website purpose is clear. | 4 | Website purpose is immediately clear and understood. |
| Visual appeal is strong. | 4 | Easy to follow and to navigate. |
| Personal branding is appropriate. | 4 | Author’s branding is appropriate. |
| Color scheme is effective. | 4 | Colors mesh well and don’t distract from the page. |
| Home page has professional content. | 3 | Content displays a modernism appeal. |
| Total Possible Points 20 | 20 |  |

# Navigation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Score | Navigation Comments |
| Page navigation makes sense. | 4 | Page navigation follows page flow. |
| Menu links are effective. | 4 | Yes. |
| Internal links are meaningful. | 4 | Links are clear and understood. |
| External links are meaningful. | 3 | Links to external pages aren’t clear. Initial appearance gives the impression external links are just photos.  Blog link works, but blog landing page isn’t working right. |
| Site uses pills, tabs or other modern navigation style. | 4 | Well used |
| Total Possible Points 20 | 19 |  |

# CSS Framework

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Score | CSS Framework Comments |
| Bootstrap or CSS Framework is being used. | 4 | Bootstrapped. |
| At least 3 framework items are being used. | 4 | Multiple framework items used throughout the page. |
| Layout is modern and easy to navigate. | 4 | Fixed navigation bar creates ease of navigation throughout page. |
| Site uses the grid layout for content. | 4 | Grid system is apparent and utilized. |
| Site uses a responsive design layout. | 4 | Desktop, tablet and mobile views are present. Media queries are effective and sensible. |
| Total Possible Points 20 | 20 |  |

# HTML5

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Score | HTML5 Comments |
| HTML code is easy to read. | 3 | It’s easy for me to read, but I feel like I could have separated the sections a little after looking it over now. |
| All page content displays professionally. | 2 | Lightbox adventure photos don’t display correctly and the close button isn’t always visible in tablet view – more work needed here. |
| Content uses appropriate HTML5 elements. | 4 | Elements are structured and used appropriately. |
| Site conforms to accessibility standards. | 2 | Failed numerous contrast checks for text, no link text labels and clickable elements should be focusable. |
| All pages pass HTML validation. | 2 | Numerous errors. “#projects” used at div and section elements. Lightbox modal doesn’t have alt text used – I thought it would pull the alt text from the page declaration. Unclosed elements present |
| Total Possible Points 20 | 13 |  |  |

# Professional

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Score | Professional Comments |
| Site projects a strong knowledge of Web Development. | 3 | Lightbox modal needs work and the styling on the asteroids page is weak. Needs more of a 1980s arcade feel. Also, the asteroids page is a time waster. |
| Site design tells the consumer that the author knows how to design sites. | 4 | It’s apparent the author can follow and apply best practices in front-end development. |
| Site images are supportive. | 4 | Site images are pleasant without distracting the user from the content. |
| Site does not violate copyright standards. | 4 | I took the picture of the Cliffs of Moher on my honeymoon in Ireland. The bottom image is a stock photo released for general private and commercial use. |
| How would a potential employer rank this site. | 3 | A well-designed site that has room for improvement. |
| Total Possible Points 20 | 18 |  |

**Overall Site Score 90/100**

**Additional Feedback:** While site is pleasant and shows potential, additional work is needed in the lightbox/modal. If a design is going to be showcased, it should be well tested and flawless. I’m excited to see what the code playground and asteroids page development will bring. Additionally, a site should be well tested before deploying.

There seems to be a bug when using a mobile device with Microsoft Edge’s browser. The first photo appears upside down and I’ve been unable to correct this issue. I’ve reported the issue to Microsoft.

There is a build issue with the React blog link. Needs more work.